

УДК 519.21

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF OPTIMAL CONTROL FOR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF EXTERNAL DISTURBANCES

**Yurchenko I.V.***cand. of ph.-math. sc., assoc. prof.*

ORCID: 0000-0001-9929-5758

*Yuriy Fedkovych Chernivtsi National University,  
Ukraine, Chernivtsi, vul. Universitet'ska, 28, 58000*

**Abstract.** *The article discusses the comparison theorem for solutions of stochastic differential functional equations under the influence of external disturbances and its application to one problem of stochastic control.*

**Keywords:** *comparison theorem, stochastic control, stochastic functional differential equations.*

### **Introduction.**

Within the framework of stochastic control theory, control in systems with random parameters is investigated. This theory is widely applied in many fields, including finance, engineering, economics, etc. The beginning of stochastic control theory is associated with the analysis of solutions to stochastic differential equations describing system's evolution in time under random disturbances or influence of random factors. Stochastic control theory was developed by improving the methods of solving stochastic differential equations, introducing new approaches to the analysis of random processes, and applying them to such areas as finance, optimal portfolio management, risk management, and many others (see [1–11]). Modern research in stochastic control theory continues to expand its application to new fields, develop more efficient methods for solving complex problems, and expand its theoretical base. This article considers the comparison theorem for solutions of stochastic functional differential equations (SFDE) subject to external disturbances and its application to a stochastic control problem, which is a development of the results obtained for one-dimensional Ito processes in [2, 4–6] and for the case of Poisson disturbances in [12–14].

### **Comparison theorem for SFDE solutions**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a flow of a  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\{F_t, t \geq 0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$  be

the space of right-continuous functions with left-hand boundaries (RCLB) with values from  $\mathbf{R}^1$  and with a uniform metric [1–3].

**Theorem 1.** Let the following be given:

1) a strictly increasing function  $\{\rho(x), x \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$  such that

$$\rho(0) = 0, \quad \int_0^\infty \rho^{-2}(x) dx = \infty;$$

2) continuous functionals  $b: \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ ;  $c: \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ ,  $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{R}^1$  such that for arbitrary  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{D}$

$$|b(t, \varphi) - b(t, \psi)| + \int_{\mathbf{V}} |c(t, \varphi, u) - c(t, \psi, u)| \Pi(du) \leq \rho(\|\varphi - \psi\|), \quad t \geq 0;$$

3) two continuous functionals  $a_i: \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$a_1(t, \varphi) \leq a_2(t, \varphi), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathbf{D};$$

4) random processes  $\{x_i(t) \equiv x_i(t, \omega), t \in \mathbf{R}_+, \omega \in \Omega, i = 1, 2\}$  continuous in  $t$  and  $\{F_t\}$ -measurable in  $\omega$ ;

5) standard Wiener process  $w(t) \equiv w(t, \omega): [0, \infty) \times \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^1$  such that  $w(0) = 0 \pmod{\mathbf{P}}$ ;

6) centered Poisson measure  $\{\tilde{\nu}(t, A) = \nu(t, A) - \Pi(A)t, A \in \mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$  where  $\{w(t)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\nu}(t, A)\}$  are independent of each other;

7) random processes  $\{\alpha_i(t) \equiv \alpha_i(t, \omega), t \in \mathbf{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$  measurable with respect to  $\{F_t, t \geq 0\}$ .

Let also the random processes from 4)–7) of this theorem satisfy the following conditions with probability one:

$$x_i(t) - x_i(0) = \int_0^t \alpha_i(s) ds + \int_0^t b(s, x_i^s) dw(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{V}} c(s, x_i^s, v) \tilde{\nu}(ds, dv),$$

$$x_1(\theta) \leq x_2(\theta), \quad \theta \in (-\infty, 0],$$

$$\alpha_1(t) \leq a_1(t, x_1^t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\alpha_2(t) \leq a_2(t, x_2^t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $\{x^t \equiv \{x(t + \theta), \theta \in (-\infty, 0]\}\}$ .

Then the inequality

$$x_1(t) \leq x_2(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

holds with probability one. If the condition of the uniqueness of strong solutions with probability one holds for at least one of the SFDEs

$$dx_i(t) = a_i(t, x^t)dt + b(t, x^t)dw(t) + \int_{\mathbf{v}} c(t, x^t, v)\tilde{v}(dt, dv), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (4)$$

then (3) also holds under a weaker condition:  $a_1(t, \varphi) \leq a_2(t, \varphi)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbf{D}$ .

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 also holds for non-linear SFDEs of the form

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t, \omega) = & x(\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(t, \omega) a_j(t, x(t + \theta, \omega))dt + \\ & + \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r+1} \alpha_j(t, \omega) b_j(s, x(t + \theta, \omega))dw_j(t, \omega) + \\ & + \sum_{j=2r+2}^{3r+2} \int_{\mathbf{v}} \alpha_j(t, \omega) c_j(t, x(s + \theta, \omega), v)\tilde{v}_j(dt, dv, \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$x(t + \theta, \omega)|_{t=0} = \varphi(\theta); \quad \alpha_j(t, \omega)|_{t=0} = \beta(\theta), \quad j = \overline{1, 3r+2}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha_j(t, \omega): [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$  are external random processes pairwise independent with each other and with the Wiener processes and coefficients  $a_j, b_j, c_j$  satisfy the Lipschitz properties and conditions of Theorem 1.

### **Problem of the existence of optimal control of sfde solutions among the feasible controls**

Let us consider a stochastic optimization problem that can be solved using Theorem 1. This optimization problem is an example of proving the existence of stochastic control for the class of SFDE subject to external disturbances of the type of random processes. The obtained results are a development of the research carried out in [6, 12–14] to the case of the action of external disturbances of the type of random processes. Let  $k(z)$  be a nondecreasing non-negative function defined on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.** A system of random processes

$$\{\alpha_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}, w_l(t, \omega), l = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}, \tilde{v}_k(t, v, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, u(t, \omega), t \geq 0\} \quad (7)$$

is called a feasible system or feasible control if:

1) it is defined in space  $(\Omega, F, \{F_t, t \geq 0\}, \mathfrak{N}_y(\mathbf{Y}), P)$ , where  $\{F_t, t \geq 0\}$  is the flow of  $\sigma$ -algebras and  $\mathfrak{N}_y(\mathbf{Y})$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra on the set  $\mathbf{Y} \equiv \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\} \subset \mathbf{R}^r$ ;

2)  $\alpha_j(t, \omega): [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$  are diagonal matrices, measurable with respect to the minimum  $\sigma$ -algebra  $F_t \cap \mathfrak{N}_y(\mathbf{Y})$ , pairwise independent of each other and of  $n$ -measurable Wiener processes  $w_j(t, \omega)$  and centered Poisson measures  $\tilde{v}_j(t, v, \omega)$ , with  $\alpha_j(t, \omega) \in C([- \Delta, \infty])$ ;

3)  $u(t)$  is an  $n$ -measurable  $F_t \cap \mathfrak{N}_y(\mathbf{Y})$  random process such that  $|u(t, \omega)| \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$  with probability one (almost everywhere);

4)  $x(t, \omega) \in C([- \Delta, \infty])$  is a given and fixed random process  $x(t, \omega): [- \Delta, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ .

For the feasible system (7) and its coefficients, the conditions of Theorem 1 (comparison theorem) are satisfied. Risk  $x''(t, \omega)$  for (7) is determined by the equality

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t, \omega) = & x(\theta) + \int_0^t \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r+1} \alpha_j(s, \omega) b(s, y, x''(s + \theta)) dw_j(s) + \\ & + \iint_0^t \sum_{j=2r+2}^{3r+2} \alpha_j(s, \omega) c(s, x''(s + \theta, \omega), v) \tilde{v}(ds, dv, \omega) + \int_0^t u(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with the initial conditions

$$x''(t + \theta, \omega) \Big|_{t=0} = x''_0(\theta), \quad \alpha_j(t, \omega) \Big|_{t=0} = \alpha_j^0(\omega), \quad j = \overline{1, 3r+2}. \quad (9)$$

Further we assume that  $\alpha_j(t, \omega) \equiv 0, j = \overline{1, r}, x''_t \equiv \{x''(t + \theta, \omega), \theta \in [- \Delta, 0], \Delta > 0\}$ .

The problem of minimizing the expectation  $E\{k \| x''_t \| \}$  is formulated with respect to all possible systems (7). We will solve this problem according to the technique described in [6, 14].

Let  $U(l)$  be defined as follows:

$$U(l) = \begin{cases} \frac{-l}{|l|}, & l \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & l = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the SFDE of the form

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t, \omega) = & \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r+1} \alpha_j(t, \omega) b(t, x_t) dw_j(t, \omega) + \\ & + \int \sum_{j=2r+1}^{3r+2} \alpha_j(t, \omega) c(t, x_t, v) \tilde{v}(dt, dv, \omega) + U(x(t, \omega)) dt \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with the initial conditions

$$x(t + \theta, \omega) \Big|_{t=0} = \varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-\Delta, 0], \quad \Delta > 0; \quad (11)$$

$$x(t + \theta, \omega) \Big|_{\theta=0} = x, \quad \alpha_j(t, \omega) \Big|_{t=0} = \alpha_j, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (12)$$

It is known [6, 14] that the solution (10)-(12) exists and is unique with probability one under the conditions of Theorem 1.

Let  $u^0(s) \equiv U^0(x(t, \omega))$ , then the feasible system

$\{\alpha_j^0(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}, w_l^0(t, \omega), l = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}, \tilde{v}_k^0(t, v, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, u^0(t, \omega), t \geq 0\}$  specifies the optimal control, i.e., for an arbitrary feasible system (7) we get  $E\{k(|x(t, \omega)|)\} \leq E\{k(|x^u(t, \omega)|)\}$ .

### Lemma 1.

Let system (7) be the set of  $n$ -measurable  $\{F_t, \mathfrak{N}_y(\mathbf{Y})\}$ -coordinated processes defined on the modified space  $(\Omega, F \cap \mathcal{N}, P)$  with the flow  $\{F_t \cap \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ ; similar set

$$\{\tilde{\alpha}_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}, \tilde{w}_l(t, \omega), l = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}, \tilde{v}_k(t, v, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, \tilde{u}(t, \omega)\}$$

is defined on another modified space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{F} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{P})$  with the flow  $\{\tilde{F}_t \cap \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(x)\}$ .

Then there is a modified space  $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{F} \cap \hat{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{P})$  with the flow  $\{\hat{F}_t \cap \hat{\mathcal{N}}(x)\}$  and the set

$$\{\hat{\alpha}_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}, \hat{w}_l(t, \omega), l = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}, \hat{v}_k(t, v, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, \hat{u}(t, \omega)\}$$

of  $n$ -dimensional  $\{\tilde{F}_t \cap \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(x)\}$ -coordinated processes such that:

- 1)  $\{x(t, \omega), \alpha_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}; w_k(t, \omega), k = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}; \tilde{v}_l(t, A, \omega), l = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, A \in \mathfrak{N}\} \approx^L$   
 $\approx^L \{\hat{x}(t, \omega); \hat{\alpha}_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}; \hat{w}_l(t, \omega), l = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}; \hat{v}_k(t, A, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, A \in \hat{\mathfrak{N}}\};$
- 2)  $\{\tilde{x}(t, \omega), \tilde{\alpha}_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}; \tilde{w}_k(t, \omega), k = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}; \tilde{v}_l(t, A, \omega), l = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, A \in \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}\} \approx^L$   
 $\approx^L \{\hat{x}(t, \omega); \hat{\alpha}_j(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+1}; \hat{w}_l(t, \omega), l = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}; \hat{v}_k(t, v, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, A \in \hat{\mathfrak{N}}\};$
- 3)  $\{\hat{w}_k(t, \omega), k = \overline{r+1, 2r+1}\}$  —  $n$  - dimensional Wiener processes;
- 4)  $\{\hat{v}_k(t, v, \omega), k = \overline{2r+2, 3r+2}, A \in \hat{\mathfrak{N}}\}$  —  $n \times n$  - dimensional centered Poisson measures.

Here symbol  $\approx^L$  means that the processes have the same distribution laws.

The proof of Lemma 1 is similar to the proof of Lemma VI– 2.1 [6]. Based on the above assumptions, the following theorem is true.

**Theorem 2.** Let (7) be an arbitrary given feasible system, and for a given  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  solution  $\{x^u(t, \omega)\}$  be determined by the SFDE (8). Then on some modified probability space it is possible to generate  $\mathbf{R}^n$  - dimensional processes  $\{\tilde{x}^u(t, \omega)\}$ ,  $\{\tilde{x}^0(t, \omega)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\alpha}_j^u(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+2}\}$ ,  $\{\tilde{\alpha}_j^0(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+2}\}$  such that

- 1)  $\{x^u(t, \omega)\} \approx^L \{\tilde{x}^u(t, \omega)\};$
- 2)  $\{x^0(t, \omega)\} \approx^L \{\tilde{x}^0(t, \omega)\};$
- 3)  $|\tilde{x}^0(t, \omega)| \leq |\tilde{x}^u(t, \omega)|$  for arbitrary  $t \geq 0$  with probability one;
- 4)  $\{\tilde{\alpha}_j^0(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+2}\} \approx^L \{\tilde{\alpha}_j^u(t, \omega), j = \overline{1, 3r+2}\}$  with probability one.

## Conclusions.

We have proved that there is a minimum value of expectation for feasible systems of the form (7). We have considered the comparison theorem for the SFDE subject to external disturbances of the type of random processes and established the fact of the existence of optimal control for this class of systems.

## References:

1. Bellman R. Dynamic programming and stochastic control processes // Information and Control.— 1958.— Vol.1, Iss.3.— P.228-239.— [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(58\)80003-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(58)80003-0).
2. Гихман И.И., Скороход А.В. Стохастические дифференциальные уравнения и их применение.— Київ: Наук. думка, 1982.— 612 с.
3. Колмановский В.Б., Шайхет Л.Е. Об одном методе построения приближенного синтеза оптимального управления // Доповіді АН УРСР. Сер.А.— 1978.— №8.— С.32–36.
4. Toshio Yamada. On a comparison theorem for solutions of stochastic differential equations and its applications // Journal Math. Kyoto Univ.— 1973.— Vol.13(3).— P.497-512.— <https://doi.org/10.1215/kjm/1250523321>.
5. Tokuzo Shiga. Diffusion processes in population genetics // Journal Math. Kyoto Univ.— 1981.— Vol.21 (1).— P.133-151.
6. Ikeda N., Watanabe S. Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes.— Amsterdam: North-Holland Mathematical Library, 1989.— 568 p.
7. Knopov P.S. Optimization and Identification of Stochastic Systems. Cybernetics and Systems Analysis.— 2023.— Vol.59(3).— P.375–384.— <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10559-023-00572-4>.
8. Царьков Е.Ф., Ясинский В.К. Квазилинейные стохастические дифференциальные уравнения.— Рига: Ориентир, 1992.— 328 с.
9. Øksendal B. Stochastic Differential Equations An Introduction with Applications. Sixth Edition.— Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London: Springer Science+Business Media, 2013.— <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14394-6>.
10. Åström K.J. Introduction to Stochastic Control Theory.— Dover Publications, 2006.— 320 p.
11. Yasyn's'kyi V.K., Sverdan M.L., Yurchenko I.V. On one problem of stochastic control // Ukrainian Mathematical Journal.— 1995.— Vol.47, №11.— P.1788–1797.— <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01057927>.
12. Свердан М.Л., Царков Є.Ф., Ясинський В.К. Стійкість у стохастичному

модельованні складних динамічних систем.— Снятин: Над Прутом, 1996.— 448 с.

13. Ясинський В.К., Юрченко І.В. Стійкість та оптимальне керування в стохастичних динамічних системах з випадковими операторами. Видання друге, доповнене.— Чернівці: Технодрук, 2019.— 258 с.

14. Yasynskyi V.K., Yurchenko I.V. On the existence of optimal control for stochastic functional differential equations under the influence of external disturbances // Cybernetics and System Analysis.— 2024.— Vol.60, №3.— P.462–471.— <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10559-024-00687-2>

Sent: 04.03.2025

© Yurchenko I.V.