

UDC 004.2

THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON LEGAL ARGUMENT AND SUBSTANTIATION OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Jorovlea Elvira Leon

ORCID: 0000-0001-8184-9951

Associate Professor, Doctor of Economics, ASEM, ase.md, Republic of Moldova,

Tudor Pașcaneanu

ORCID: 0000-0002-1074-6186

Associate Professor, Doctor of Economics, ASEM, ase.md, Republic of Moldova,

Abstract. Artificial intelligence has fundamentally transformed the legal sector, evolving from early rule-based expert systems in the late twentieth century to sophisticated machine learning applications that now permeate modern legal practice. This progression reflects a shift from basic task automation to nuanced AI-driven assistance, encompassing predictive analytics, generative models, and advanced natural language processing. Key milestones include the introduction of predictive analytics platforms for case outcome forecasting, AI-powered document review tools that significantly reduce litigation costs and timelines, and generative AI systems that assist in legal brief drafting. Contemporary adoption reveals law firms leveraging AI for due diligence, contract automation, and enhanced legal research, while courts experiment with AI-driven judicial tools to improve decision accuracy and access to justice. However, this integration presents critical challenges, including algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, and the need for professional skepticism. The transformation of legal research through automated case law analysis and natural language processing has eliminated time-consuming manual searches, while AI-assisted tools enhance accuracy by minimizing human error and identifying emerging legal trends. In judicial decision-making, AI contributes through evidence assessment, pattern recognition in complex datasets, and credibility validation of digital evidence. Predictive analytics enable outcome forecasting and risk assessment, though human judgment remains essential for nuanced legal interpretation. Addressing algorithmic bias through regular audits, diverse datasets, and explainable AI, alongside embedding AI literacy into law curricula and professional training, proves essential for responsible implementation. The future trajectory suggests potential for fully automated legal reasoning, yet demands careful oversight to preserve transparency, fairness, and public trust in judicial institutions. As the legal profession adapts through innovation and education, AI's capacity to enhance efficiency, reduce errors, and democratize access to justice grows, while simultaneously necessitating vigilant ethical governance to ensure equitable legal outcomes.

Key words: Artificial Intelligence, Natural Language Processing, Predictive Analytics, Machine Learning, Algorithmic Bias, Electronic Discovery, Legal Informatics, Rule-Based Expert Systems, Evidence Assessment, Explainable AI

Introduction

The historical development of artificial intelligence in the legal sector can be traced back to early efforts in legal informatics, where researchers sought to automate basic legal reasoning and document management. Initial systems in the late twentieth century primarily focused on rule-based expert systems, which aimed to replicate the decision-making processes of legal professionals through coded logic and structured

data. Over time, these foundational technologies evolved as advancements in machine learning and natural language processing enabled more sophisticated applications, such as automated contract analysis and electronic discovery tools. This progression marked a shift from simple automation of repetitive tasks to more nuanced AI-driven assistance, paving the way for the integration of predictive analytics and generative models within the practice of law.

Main text

Key milestones in the development of legal AI technologies have significantly influenced how legal professionals approach their work. Noteworthy achievements include the introduction of predictive analytics platforms capable of forecasting case outcomes, the deployment of AI-powered document review tools that dramatically reduce the time and cost associated with litigation, and the emergence of generative AI systems that assist in drafting legal briefs and opinions. These milestones have led to several important changes in the legal landscape, such as:

- Enhanced accuracy in legal research and analysis
- Increased efficiency in case preparation and management
- Broader access to legal information for practitioners and the public.

Each of these developments has brought new opportunities and challenges, prompting ongoing debate about the appropriate role of AI in the justice system.

Current trends in AI adoption among legal professionals reflect a growing recognition of the transformative potential of these technologies in both private practice and the judiciary. Law firms are increasingly leveraging AI tools to streamline due diligence, automate contract generation, and improve legal research, resulting in improved productivity and client service. Moreover, courts are beginning to experiment with AI-driven judicial tools that promise to enhance decision-making accuracy and address concerns related to access to justice. As a result, the legal sector is witnessing a paradigm shift where technology is not only augmenting traditional legal processes but also reshaping the way legal arguments are substantiated and judicial decisions are formulated.

The automation of case law analysis through artificial intelligence has

significantly altered the landscape of legal research. Traditional methods required legal professionals to manually sift through vast databases of judicial decisions, statutes, and precedent, often spending hours or even days on a single query. With the integration of AI-driven tools, this process is now streamlined and expedited, as these systems can quickly scan, identify, and extract relevant legal precedents from enormous data sets. As a result, lawyers and judges can focus more on substantive legal argumentation rather than time-consuming research. This shift not only saves time but also reduces the likelihood of overlooking critical cases that may influence judicial decisions, thus enhancing the thoroughness and credibility of legal arguments.

Natural language processing in legal databases has emerged as a cornerstone technology, enabling AI systems to interpret and process the nuanced language found in legal documents. NLP algorithms are adept at understanding synonyms, contextual meanings, and the complex structure of legal texts, which allows for more precise and relevant search results. By leveraging NLP, legal professionals can now pose queries in plain language and receive highly targeted case law, statutes, and scholarly commentary in response. This capability improves access to relevant information and supports the creation of stronger, well-substantiated legal arguments. Consequently, the use of NLP in legal research tools is rapidly becoming standard practice among law firms and judicial institutions.

AI-assisted tools are not only transforming the speed of legal research but are also enhancing its accuracy and efficiency. These technologies systematically minimize human error by ensuring that searches cover all pertinent legal sources and by flagging inconsistencies or gaps in legal reasoning. Key benefits include:

- Comprehensive coverage of case law and statutory materials
- Identification of emerging legal trends and outlier decisions
- Consistency in applying legal standards across similar cases.

By increasing both the accuracy and efficiency of legal research, AI empowers legal professionals to construct more compelling arguments and equips judges with robust, data-driven insights for decision-making. As a result, the integration of AI into legal research is reshaping how legal arguments are developed and substantiated in

judicial decisions.

Artificial intelligence is fundamentally transforming the drafting of legal briefs by providing lawyers with advanced tools that streamline the process without supplanting the essential role of human expertise. AI-powered legal writing platforms can generate initial drafts in a fraction of the time traditionally required, efficiently incorporating relevant case law and anticipating opposing arguments. This capability not only accelerates the preparation of legal documents but also enhances the quality of argumentation by ensuring consistency and thoroughness. As a result, legal professionals benefit from significant time savings, allowing them to focus more on strategy and nuanced analysis rather than repetitive drafting tasks.

One of the most impactful contributions of AI in legal argument construction is its ability to swiftly identify relevant precedents and statutes that might otherwise be overlooked. By leveraging vast databases of legal decisions, AI systems can surface pertinent cases, statutory provisions, and even jurisdiction-specific interpretations with remarkable precision. This process empowers attorneys to:

- Quickly compile comprehensive lists of authoritative sources
- Uncover obscure or novel precedents that strengthen their case
- Reduce the risk of missing critical legal authorities.

Such efficiency not only bolsters the lawyer's ability to construct well-substantiated arguments but also ensures that judicial decisions are grounded in the most relevant and up-to-date legal frameworks.

AI also plays a pivotal role in structuring logical and persuasive arguments, offering analytical support that enhances the effectiveness of legal advocacy. Modern AI tools can systematically organize facts, legal principles, and precedents, suggesting the most coherent sequence for presenting arguments. These systems can analyze patterns in judicial reasoning, flag logical inconsistencies, and recommend alternative approaches based on historical outcomes. Consequently, lawyers are equipped to craft arguments that are not only well-supported but also tailored to the preferences of specific courts or judges, optimizing the likelihood of a favorable outcome.

AI's integration into evidence assessment in the legal field begins with the

automated analysis of documentary evidence, a critical step in many judicial proceedings. By leveraging natural language processing and machine learning algorithms, AI systems are capable of scanning, categorizing, and summarizing large volumes of documents far more efficiently than traditional manual review. This automation not only accelerates the discovery process but also enhances accuracy by minimizing human error and oversight. The ability of AI to flag inconsistencies, detect duplicated content, and identify missing documentation streamlines the preparation of evidence for court, ensuring that only the most pertinent information is presented for judicial consideration.

Another transformative aspect of AI in evidence assessment is its proficiency in pattern recognition within complex datasets. Legal cases often involve intricate webs of financial records, communication logs, or transaction histories that may conceal relevant connections or anomalies. AI tools equipped with advanced analytics can sift through this data, uncovering trends and relationships that might otherwise go unnoticed. For example, AI can identify sequences of transactions that suggest fraudulent behavior or correlate evidence across separate documents to strengthen the case narrative. Such capabilities enable legal professionals to construct more robust arguments, grounded in data-driven insights, while also supporting judges in their quest for impartial decision-making.

Furthermore, AI-driven validation of evidentiary credibility marks a significant advancement in the substantiation of judicial decisions. Sophisticated AI systems can assess the authenticity, reliability, and relevance of digital evidence by cross-referencing metadata, evaluating the provenance of documents, and detecting signs of tampering or manipulation. This automated credibility assessment helps ensure that only trustworthy evidence is admitted to proceedings, reducing the risk of bias or error stemming from unreliable sources. By bolstering the objectivity of evidence evaluation, AI thus contributes to more transparent and just outcomes within the judicial process.

Predictive analytics, powered by artificial intelligence, is increasingly utilized by courts and legal practitioners to forecast case outcomes with remarkable precision. By

applying machine learning algorithms to vast repositories of past judicial decisions, these tools can uncover subtle patterns and correlations that may not be immediately apparent to human analysts. This technological advantage enables legal professionals to assess the likely direction of a case, offering strategic insights for both plaintiffs and defendants. Notably, predictive models can highlight relevant precedents and legal arguments that have historically influenced similar cases, thereby enhancing the accuracy and reliability of outcome predictions. As a result, AI-driven forecasting is becoming a valuable asset in legal strategy formation and risk management.

Risk assessment models, another significant application of predictive analytics in the legal context, are transforming how litigation risks are evaluated. These AI tools systematically analyze variables such as case facts, judge histories, and jurisdictional trends to estimate the probability of various litigation outcomes. By automating this complex evaluation, AI enables legal teams to:

- Quantify the likelihood of success or failure in court.
- Identify areas of vulnerability or strength in a case.
- Allocate resources more efficiently based on predicted risk.

Consequently, the adoption of AI-powered risk assessment tools empowers litigants and their counsel to make better-informed decisions about settlement, trial, or alternative dispute resolution strategies.

The integration of predictive tools into judicial reasoning processes is exerting a subtle yet profound influence on decision-making within the courts. As judges and legal clerks increasingly rely on AI-generated insights, there is a growing shift toward data-driven rationales in judicial opinions. These technologies assist in surfacing relevant case law, identifying logical inconsistencies, and even suggesting potential outcomes based on precedent. While AI serves as an invaluable decision-support resource, it is crucial to acknowledge that human judgment remains essential for interpreting nuanced legal principles and ensuring justice. The careful balance between technological input and judicial discretion is shaping a new paradigm in legal reasoning, where predictive analytics augments, rather than supplants, traditional jurisprudence.

AI-generated summaries of judicial rationale are transforming how legal decisions are substantiated by providing concise, clear distillations of complex legal reasoning. These summaries use advanced natural language processing capabilities to extract the core arguments, legal principles, and factual findings from lengthy judgments, making them more accessible to litigants, lawyers, and the public. Not only do such summaries enhance comprehension, but they also support the right to be heard by ensuring that parties understand the reasoning behind decisions, a fundamental component of procedural fairness. Ultimately, the use of AI-generated rationale summaries encourages transparency and trust in the judicial process by demystifying judicial logic and making the reasoning process more transparent.

Cross-referencing case precedents with AI greatly strengthens the substantiation of judicial decisions by enabling rapid, comprehensive analysis of relevant legal authorities. AI-powered tools can sift through vast databases of case law to identify similar fact patterns, legal issues, and prior rulings, helping judges support their decisions with well-established precedents. This not only streamlines legal research but also improves the consistency and accuracy of judicial reasoning. For instance, AI can flag inconsistencies in legal analysis by comparing the present case to past rulings, highlighting where a departure from precedent requires explicit justification. As a result, judicial opinions become better grounded in legal tradition and more robustly substantiated.

Ensuring thoroughness and transparency in judgments is another critical benefit of integrating AI into the judicial decision-making process. AI systems can systematically review draft judgments for completeness, flagging missing elements such as overlooked evidence or unaddressed legal arguments. This automated scrutiny helps guarantee that judgments are not only comprehensive but also transparent in their reasoning, which is essential for upholding the rule of law and public confidence in the justice system. Moreover, by providing judges with detailed cross-references and highlighting potential gaps, AI tools reinforce judicial diligence and accountability, ultimately contributing to more equitable and well-substantiated decisions.

The detection and mitigation of algorithmic bias are fundamental concerns as

artificial intelligence becomes more integrated into legal systems. AI models in the legal domain often learn from historical datasets, which can inadvertently encode and perpetuate existing prejudices within judicial decisions or societal structures. If left unchecked, such algorithmic bias can lead to unfair or discriminatory outcomes, undermining the credibility of AI-assisted judicial processes. Therefore, it is crucial to implement continuous monitoring of AI algorithms to identify and rectify sources of bias. Key steps in this process may include:

- Regular audits of AI models for biased outcomes
- Incorporation of diverse and representative legal data
- Deployment of explainable AI tools to enhance transparency.

These practices help ensure that AI systems in law maintain fairness and uphold the principles of justice.

The impact of biased data on legal outcomes cannot be overstated, as AI systems trained on flawed or unbalanced datasets may replicate and amplify historic inequities. When AI tools are exposed to biased legal records—such as those reflecting gender, racial, or socioeconomic disparities—they may produce recommendations or predictions that reinforce existing injustices. This risk is particularly pronounced in high-stakes contexts like sentencing, bail decisions, or civil rights litigation. As a result, addressing the root causes of data bias is essential to prevent perpetuation of discrimination and ensure equitable legal processes.

Strategies for ethical AI development in law are paramount for fostering trust and accountability within the judicial system. Ethical guidelines should prioritize transparency, explainability, and fairness in the design and deployment of AI tools. Developers and legal professionals must collaborate to establish standards that:

- Require documentation of AI decision-making processes
- Mandate stakeholder input, especially from marginalized communities
- Promote ongoing review and adaptation to address emerging ethical concerns.

By embedding these principles into the lifecycle of legal AI technologies, the legal sector can harness the benefits of automation while safeguarding against unethical practices.

Data privacy and confidentiality stand out as pressing concerns when integrating AI into legal practice, given the sensitive nature of legal documents and client information. AI systems often require access to vast amounts of data to function effectively, but this necessity increases the risk of unauthorized data exposure and privacy breaches. Legal professionals must ensure that AI tools comply with strict ethical and regulatory standards to safeguard confidential information. Moreover, the use of cloud-based AI solutions often introduces additional vulnerabilities, as data may traverse or be stored in jurisdictions with differing privacy laws. Consequently, maintaining robust data encryption, access controls, and regular audits becomes essential to protect client confidentiality and uphold trust in the legal system.

Resistance from legal professionals presents another significant hurdle to the adoption of AI technologies in law. Many attorneys and judges express skepticism about the reliability and transparency of AI-driven recommendations, fearing that such technologies might undermine their professional judgment or erode traditional legal values. This reluctance is often compounded by concerns over job security and the potential devaluation of human expertise. To address these issues, law firms and institutions must prioritize comprehensive training and foster an environment where AI is viewed as an augmentative tool rather than a replacement for human insight. Overcoming this resistance is crucial for the successful and ethical integration of AI in legal workflows.

Limitations inherent in current AI technologies also pose substantial challenges to their effective use in legal practice. Despite rapid advances, AI systems still struggle with understanding the nuanced language of law, interpreting ambiguous statutes, and adapting to evolving legal standards. These shortcomings can result in incomplete or inaccurate analyses, particularly in cases involving complex or novel legal issues. Furthermore, dependence on historical data can cause AI tools to perpetuate outdated legal precedents or fail to recognize emerging trends. As a result, legal professionals must remain vigilant in reviewing and contextualizing AI-generated outcomes, ensuring that technology complements rather than constrains legal reasoning.

The incorporation of artificial intelligence literacy into law curricula is rapidly

becoming a necessity as the legal field confronts unprecedented technological advancements. Law schools and training programs are beginning to recognize that legal professionals must not only understand traditional doctrine and reasoning but also grasp foundational concepts in AI, such as machine learning, data analytics, and algorithmic bias, to remain relevant in a technology-driven landscape. By embedding AI-focused modules and workshops into legal education, institutions can help students develop critical awareness of the opportunities and risks that AI brings to legal practice. This approach prepares future lawyers to critically assess how AI impacts judicial decision-making, evidence evaluation, and argument substantiation. As a result, law graduates will be better equipped to engage with and oversee AI systems that may influence the outcome of legal disputes.

Training lawyers to use AI tools effectively is equally important, as these technologies are swiftly becoming standard in legal research, drafting, and case analysis. Legal professionals must acquire hands-on proficiency in employing AI-powered databases, document review platforms, and predictive analytics tools to enhance efficiency and accuracy in their work . Proper training enables lawyers to:

- Streamline the process of finding relevant case law and precedents,
- Reduce the risk of human error in document review,
- Leverage data-driven insights to formulate stronger legal arguments.

These skills not only improve productivity but also support more substantiated and persuasive judicial submissions. As AI tools evolve, ongoing professional development will be essential to ensure lawyers remain adept at navigating the ethical and practical challenges posed by increasingly sophisticated legal technologies.

The infusion of AI into legal education and practice is catalyzing a shift in the skills and competencies required of future legal professionals. While foundational legal reasoning and advocacy remain indispensable, there is now heightened demand for expertise in technology management, data interpretation, and interdisciplinary collaboration. As the legal landscape evolves, lawyers will need to adapt by:

- Cultivating proficiency in digital literacy and technology ethics,
- Collaborating with technologists to evaluate and deploy legal AI solutions,

- Developing the ability to critically assess the outputs and limitations of AI systems.

These competencies position future lawyers not just as legal experts, but as informed stewards of justice in a digital era, capable of ensuring that AI is harnessed responsibly and effectively within the judicial process.

The legal field is experiencing a surge of innovation as emerging AI technologies continue to revolutionize traditional practices. New advancements in AI-driven research platforms, automated document review, and e-discovery tools have become integral to modern legal workflows, increasing both the speed and accuracy of information retrieval and case analysis. These technologies are not only streamlining routine tasks but are also enhancing the quality of legal argumentation by providing lawyers with more comprehensive data sets and predictive analytics capabilities. As a result, legal professionals are now able to analyze precedents, evaluate case trends, and prepare more robust arguments in a fraction of the time previously required. The integration of these technologies is reshaping the legal landscape, pushing firms and courts alike to adapt to a rapidly evolving technological environment.

Looking ahead, the potential for fully automated legal reasoning represents a significant leap in the application of artificial intelligence within the justice system. With the development of advanced algorithms capable of interpreting statutes, case law, and legal principles, there is a growing conversation around the feasibility of AI systems autonomously generating legal arguments or even rendering preliminary judicial decisions. This trajectory suggests that, in the future, AI could handle complex legal reasoning tasks, such as drafting motions, synthesizing legal arguments, and recommending outcomes based on established jurisprudence. However, these advancements also raise important questions about oversight, accountability, and the preservation of human judgment in legal processes.

The long-term implications of integrating AI into legal argumentation and judicial decision-making are profound, with potential benefits and challenges for the judiciary and the broader legal profession. On one hand, AI can facilitate more efficient caseload management, reduce human error, and enhance access to justice by lowering costs and

expediting proceedings. On the other hand, concerns persist regarding transparency, fairness, and the erosion of public trust in judicial institutions as AI becomes more prominent in decision-making processes. As these technologies become more ingrained, legal professionals and policymakers must address issues such as the explainability of AI-driven decisions, the safeguarding of fundamental rights, and the need for continuous evaluation of AI's impact on the core values of the legal system.

Summary and conclusions

In conclusion, the integration of artificial intelligence into the legal sector has profoundly transformed the way legal professionals conduct research, construct arguments, and substantiate judicial decisions. From automating case law analysis to enhancing transparency through AI-generated summaries, these technological advancements have increased efficiency and accuracy in legal processes. While challenges such as bias mitigation and ethical considerations remain, ongoing developments promise a future where AI will play an even more central role in legal reasoning and decision-making. As the legal community adapts through education and innovation, AI's potential to revolutionize the judiciary and legal practice continues to grow, offering promising prospects for a more efficient, transparent, and equitable legal system.

References

1. Cojocaru, M., & Iacob, G. (2024). Digital transformation of the judicial system in the Republic of Moldova: The role of artificial intelligence in legal argumentation processes. State University of Moldova Publishing House, Chişinău.
2. Botnaru, V. (2023). Artificial intelligence and law: Implications for legal practice and professional training of lawyers in Moldova. *Review of Law of the International Free University of Moldova*, 15(3), 45-67.
3. Popescu, D., & Sturza, R. (2025). Automation of legal research: Applications of natural language processing in legal databases from Eastern Europe. *Journal of Moldovan Legal Studies*, 22(1), 112-138.
4. Gherghina, S. (2023). Predictive analytics and judicial decision-making:

Critical perspectives from the Moldovan legal system. Publishing House of the Center for Legal Studies, Chişinău.

5. Şimon, L., & Andronic, A. (2024). Algorithmic bias and fairness in AI-assisted legal systems: A case study in the Republic of Moldova. *Review of Legal Informatics and Digital Law*, 8(2), 78-105.

6. Țurcanu, O. (2023). Legal education in the digital era: Curricula and competencies required for lawyers and judges in Moldova. Prutul Internațional Publishing House, Chişinău.

7. Cazac, V., & Postolachi, E. (2025). Data confidentiality and GDPR compliance in the use of AI tools in Moldovan legal practice. *Journal of Digital Law and Data Protection*, 11(4), 201-226.

© Jorovlea Elvira

CONTENTS**Innovative engineering, technology and industry**

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-007> 3

DESIGNING OPERATING PARAMETERS FOR EQUIPMENT USED TO COOL BAKERY PRODUCTS

*Pidlisnyj V.V., Semenov A.M.
Fedoriv V.M., Mazorchuk I. I.*

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-023> 11

COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO ASSESSING THE HYDROPOWER POTENTIAL OF SMALL RIVERS

Vashchyshak I. R., Chernetska I.V

Computer science, cybernetics and automatics

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-003> 16

ENSEMBLING OF TIME SERIES FORECASTING MODELS

*Sumets S.I., Udovenko S.G.
Shergin V.L., Chala L.E.*

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-019> 22

SYSTEM FOR OPTIMIZING CUTTING OF ROLLED PRODUCTS ON HOT ROLLING MILLS

Subotin O.V., Petrukhin Ya.I., Novikov D.S.

Security systems in the modern world

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-012> 28

MAINTAINING UKRAINE'S FINANCIAL SECURITY IN TIMES OF WAR: INTERNATIONAL AID AND DEFENSE PROCUREMENT

Karapetian O.M., Karapetian A. E., Kozhenovskyi Y. V

Architecture and construction

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-001> 31

DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION SYSTEMS OF METAL STRUCTURES OF CONSTRUCTION MACHINES BASED ON FUZZY MODELS

Gorbatyuk Ie.V.

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-011> 37

RECENT BELLIGERENT LANDSCAPES OF UKRAINE,
CAUSES OF EMERGENCE AND DIRECTIONS OF POST-
WAR REGENERATION

Ustinova I.I., Pieshkova O.O.

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-024> 45

SACRED HERITAGE IN THE STRUCTURE OF THE MODERN
CITY, RECONSTRUCTION OF THE "GOLDEN ROSE" CHORAL
SYNAGOGUE AND FORMATION OF THE "MENORA" COMPLEX

Polyushkin S. S., Kharchenko K. S., Kobazieva O. I

Physics and mathematics

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-013> 49

POLYMODAL FILLER DISTRIBUTION AND THERMAL
CONDUCTIVITY IN COMPOSITES

Pysarenko A.M.

Geography, demography and astronomy

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-022> 54

ANTHROPOGENIC LANDSCAPES: STRUCTURE AND
FUNCTIONING

Zelenchuk I. D.

Management and marketing

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-004> 59

MARKETING AND MANAGEMENT OF EDUCATIONAL
AND SCIENTIFIC SYSTEMS IN THE CONTEXT OF
GLOBAL COMPETITION

Obozna A.O., Iakovunyk O.V., Iakovunyk D.I.

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-005> 64

DIGITAL COMMERCIALIZATION OF SPORT AS A DRIVER
OF FINANCIAL RESILIENCE AND BUSINESS MODEL
TRANSFORMATION

Leonov Ya.V.

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-008> 72

CONSULTING AS AN INTERMEDIARY INSTITUTION IN
THE BEAUTY INDUSTRY: INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS
OF ACCESS BARRIERS AND SERVICE QUALITY

Blazhko K. V.

https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-016	76
CHARACTERISTICS AND POTENTIAL OF ECONOMIC AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING IN CRISIS MANAGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL	
<i>Sviatoboh O.S.</i>	

Education and pedagogy

https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-006	84
THE ROLE OF UKRAINIAN WRITERS-EDUCATORS IN FORMING THE LITERARY ENVIRONMENT AND HYGIENIC EDUCATION OF YOUTH	
<i>Bagriy M.A.</i>	

https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-009	90
DEVELOPING GRAMMATICAL COMPETENCE WHEN LEARNING ENGLISH IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS	
<i>Bielova V.V.</i>	

https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-010	97
TEAM BUILDING ACTIVITIES IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRAINING OF FUTURE SPECIALISTS	
<i>Rekun N.M.</i>	

https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-025	102
PERSONAL CULTURE WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF PHILOSOPHICAL, CULTURAL AND PEDAGOGICAL INQUIRY	
<i>Zaluzhna A.Ye, Bovgyria D.D.</i>	

Physical education and sport

https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-002	108
THE ACTIVITIES OF THE SPECIAL OLYMPICS OF UKRAINE IN THE SYSTEM OF ADAPTIVE PHYSICAL EDUCATION AS A FACTOR IN THE TRANSFORMATIONAL POWER OF SPORT	
<i>Pavlenko V.O.</i>	

Psychology and sociology

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-021> 116

QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF THE UNIVERSITY ENVIRONMENT ON THE FORMATION OF STUDENTS' POLITICAL IDENTITY

Chalchynskyi O. V.

Philology, linguistics and literary studies

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-014> 122

OPPORTUNITIES OF HYBRID METAHEURISTIC METHODS FOR CONTEMPORARY LINGUISTIC EDUCATION

Krasniuk S.O.

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-020> 129

PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PEDAGOGICAL BASIS OF THE APPLICATION OF INTERACTIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN UKRAINIAN LITERATURE LESSONS

Kyrylenko N. I., Marchenko E. O.

Legal and political sciences

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-017> 133

INTERDISCIPLINARY LEGAL RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: INTEGRATING QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE APPROACHES

Jorovlea Elvira Leon, Codreanu Alina Vasile

<https://www.proconference.org/index.php/usc/article/view/usc35-00-018> 146

THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON LEGAL ARGUMENT AND SUBSTANTIATION OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Jorovlea Elvira Leon, Tudor Pașcaneanu

International scientific conference

***Modern systems of science and education in the
USA, EU and other countries '2026***
Conference proceedings

January, 2026

**ProConference
in conjunction with KindleDP
Seattle, Washington, USA**

Articles published in the author's edition

With the support of research project
ProConference
www.proconference.org

ISBN 979-8-248799-49-7





www.proconference.org/index.php/usc

e-mail: info@proconference.org